And therefore every one is to do his best endeavor, to know how to make it most easy, if it lies upon him. Moreover, seeing we purpose, God willing, to go through all the whole course of learning, and also since our labor is to find out the means, whereby to make the way plain, to train up every child from the very first entrance into learning, (as was said) until we have brought him into the University, we cannot omit any point, which may tend unto the same, much less the first step of all. For, a child well entered is half made: according to that Proverb, Principium, dimidium totius. [Beginning is half finishing.] The foundation well laid, the building must go forward much more happily. This is especially true in learning; in which children feeling a sweetness in the beginning, are very much encouraged, as daily experience will manifest to every one.

Spoud. I see well the necessity of undergoing this burden, in those places where remedy cannot be had, without greater inconveniences. And therefore, since that necessity hath no law, nor for myself I know no means how to be freed from it; I pray you let us return again unto the point, and let me still entreat of you your best direction, to make this burden as light as may be. This is a thing much worth the diligence of all, who must be employed amongst little ones: to wit, to teach children how to read well, and to pronounce their letters correctly; as also to spell correctly, and to know how to write true Orthography in a short time. For (that I may acknowledge the truth, and which hath been no small discredit unto me in this behalf) I have had some who have been with me, two or three years, before they could read well. And that which hath yet been much more grievous to me, I have sometimes been so abashed and ashamed, that I have not known what to say, when some being a little discontented, or taking occasion to quarrel about paying my stipend, have cast this in my teeth, that their children have been under me six or seven years, and yet have not learned to read English well. I myself have also known, that their complaints have been true in part; though I have taken all the pains with them that I could possibly devise. Therefore good Sir, set down as plainly and quickly as you can, how this may be helped. Both I and many others shall be much behelden for your direction in this first entrance. For my manner of starting them, it is that which I take to be everywhere: to teach & hear them so often over until they can say a lesson, and so to a new.

Phil. I likewise have been well acquainted with this your trouble: and therefore I will endeavor, to afford you so much as I have yet learned, how to avoid these clamors; and bow any poor man who will employ his pains, may learn to teach children to read well in a short time, though this may seem unbecitting our profession.
First the child is to be taught, how to say every letter, pronouncing each of them plainly, fully and distinctly: I mean, in a distinct and differing sound, each from others, and also naturally, from the very first entrance to learning. More specially to be careful, for the right pronouncing the five vowels, in the first place, as a, e, i, o, u. Because these are first and most natural, and do make a perfect sound, so that they may be pronounced fully of themselves; and they being rightly uttered, all the rest are more plain. After these vowels, to teach them to pronounce every other letter: which are therefore called Consonants, because they cannot make a perfect sound of themselves, without a Vowel.

This may be done, and also the teaching of children to spell any syllable, before the child do know any letter on the book; and that, some wise and experienced do hold the surest and best course. But they are, at least, to be taught to pronounce their letters thus, as they do learn them; to prevent the grief and weariness of teaching them to forget evil customs in pronouncing, which they took up in their first ill learning. And so ever in teaching to read, the teachers are to continue the like care of sweet and natural pronunciation.

Secondly, for the knowing of the letters (besides that common manner practiced in Schools, which is by often reading over all the letters forwards and backwards until they can say them) they may be much furthered thus: That is, by causing the child to find out, and to show you which is a, which b, which c, which f, and so any other letter. First to find them in the Alphabet, then in any other place. Or if you will let them learn but one letter at once, until they can readily know or find out that letter in any place, and after that another in the same manner: This is holden the surer and more easy way: But this at your own judgement.

3. You may help them to spell thus, besides that course which is usual. Let so many as are beginners, or who can not read perfectly, stand together, and then test them without book, one by one. First, in syllables of two letters, as they are set down in their A. B. C. and where one misses, let his next fellow answer: if he cannot, then, let some other. Then examine them in syllables of three letters, after in more. And ever what syllable they miss, mark it with a dent with the nail, or a prick with a pen, or the like: and when you have marked out those where in they so miss, test them often over, not forgetting due praise to them who do best. One half hour would be spent daily in this kind of examining, until they be perfect in any syllable, or word. To make children to take a delight in spelling, let them spell many syllables together, which differ but only in one letter, as hand, band, land, sand, &c. These syllables and words following, I have observed, to be of the hardest for children to spell: I will set them down together for you in this short brief. They may serve for spelling, reading, or writing and may soon be learned by being often tested, read or written over.

Ac, ec, ca, ce, ci, co, cu, ag, eg, ah, az, ae, ai, au, ga, ge, gi, go, gu, va, wa, we, wee, back, base, bag, bage, gage, badge, baw, hay, daw, dew, jaw, rack, race, rass, rose, yell, you, gua, cha, cla, dwa, gla, pha, tha, sca, sha, swa, wra, chra, phra, spha, thra, twa, thwa, able, abs, ach, adge, afle, apt, ath, own, blow, brow, crow, dreg, dregge, dwarf, frog, gnash, gnaw, plow, snow, strew, slug, they, thorn, throne, twain, twig, school, cockle, puddle: peggle, good, gold, goggle, balm, fallen, stolen, scalp, salve, thumb, couple, pierce, chair, chopped, moth, mouth, nymphs, uncle, tenth, strength, height, depth, breadth, weight, joint, laude, beauty, deed, language, guide, feed, feud, vow, brow, dow, dove, knife, knives, yeoman, enough, air, here, doubting, island, isle, buy, league, hatchet, laugh, yew, bough, public, cushion.

These are some of the hardest syllables, as I said: you may add more as you encounter them. Also this is to be observed in spelling; that before you spell or write commonly (ti) not (sh) as in salvation, not as salvashon, although we pronounce it as (sh). But this is to be known mainly, by the Latin words from which they come.

Correct pronunciation of words, and continual practice in spelling, are the surest way to come to spell correctly.

If you mispronounce the word, which you want your child to spell, he misspells it: for he spells according as it is pronounced to him, or as he pronounces it. For example; ask a child how he spells a straw, (as in many places the country manner is to pronounce straw) he will spell strea, he spells a strea, (as in many places the country) he pronounces it. For example; ask a child how he spells a straw, although we pronounce it as (sh). But this is to be known mainly, by the Latin words from which they come.

To direct further how to come to perfection in spelling or writing right, I shall have occasion to speak later.

In joining syllables together, they must be taught to say every syllable by itself, truly, plainly, fully, and distinctly, as we heard of the letters before; and so also as that others who hear may understand; always sounding out the last syllable: as sal-va-tion.

Thus they may go through their Abcie, and Primer. And if they read them twice over, that they may be very perfect in them, it will be the better for them. For, the second reading of any book doth much encourage children, because it seems to be so easy then; and also it imprints it more. Besides that, they will run over it so fast the second time, that it will be no loss of time at all to them.

After these they may read other English books again. Among which, the Psalms in meter would be one, because children will learn that book with most readiness and delight through the running-off the meter, as it is found by experience. Then the Testament, in which the discreet Master may keep his student less or more, until he think him meet to enter into the Accidence.

If any require any other little book good for beginning enter children; the School of Virtue is one of the principle and easiest for the beginners, being full of precepts of civility, and things that children will soon learn and take a delight in, through the roundness of the meter, as was said before of the singing Psalms: And after it the School of good manners, called, the new School of virtue, leading the child as by the hand in the way of all good manners.

By these means, children if they are well applied, and continually keep at it, may be taught so to read within a year or little more, as they should be ready to begin in their Grammar, by that time that they are six year old at the most; especially if they be in any way apt, and practice spelling the hardest syllables a lot.

For dividing or distinguishing of syllables, this one observation is to be remembered: That those consonants which are usually joined in the beginnings of words, are not to be disjoined and separated in the middle of words, except in Compound words. But we shall speak properly and more about this later. This is enough for now about the speedy reading of English; for I have certainly had much experience in this.

Spou. I cannot justly dislike anything which you have said here, it stands all with so great reason: chiefly to make children so excellent in reading the hardest syllables. For, if they are good in those, then they will attain all the rest in a short time. Except only one thing which you uttered; which indeed seems a strange Paradox to me: Namely, that someone wise & experienced, would teach children to say and pronounce all their letters, and to spell any syllable before they know a letter in the book.

Phil. This is very true which you say; it may seem a Paradox to them who have not tried it. I myself was of your mind when I heard it first. Yet setting myself to make some trial of it, because of the respect I had for who told me, and because he showed me his experience with it in a child not yet four years old, I found it the easiest, most pleasant and shortest way of all, where one would begin in a private house with little ones playing. The manner is thus.

1. You must teach them, as I said, to say their five Vowels, and to pronounce them correctly: Which they will quickly learn, if you but only cause them to repeat them often, after you say them distinctly together as:

   a, e, i, o, u, with the sounds like five bells, or like we count; one, two, three, four, five.

2. Then teach them to put the consonants in order before every vowel and to repeat them often together; like this:

   begin with b, and say, ba, be, bi, bo, bu.

   So d. da, de, di, do, du.

   Then teach them to say all the rest, as it were singing them together, la, le, li, lo, lu;

   Teach the hardest last, as ca, ce, ci, co, cu;

   and ga, ge, gi, go, gu;

   because the sound is a little changed in the second and third syllables. When they can read all these syllables, then teach them to spell them in order, thus:

   What spells b-a?

   If the child cannot answer, teach him to say thus:

   b-a, ba:

   so putting first b before every vowel, to say b-a ba, b-e be, b-i bi, b-o bo, b-u bu.

   Then ask him again what spells b-a, and he will tell you; so too all the rest in order. By often repeating these before he says it, he will certainly do it. After this if you ask him how he spells b-a, he will answer b-a ba, so too in all others.

   Next these, teach them to put the vowels first, as to say,

   ab, eb, ib, ob, ub.

   Then, a-b ab, e-b eb, i-b ib, o-b ob, u-b ub.

   After, what spells a-b, e-b, &c. Then go with them backward and forward, cross, in and out, until they can spell any syllable of two letters.

   Then you may join those of three letters: After that, do all the hard syllables, to read what any of them spells, until they are perfect in all, or as you think necessary. By this means, and by a little repeating of the letters of the Alphabet over before they do, by three or four letters together, as they stand in order, so as they may best sound in the children's ears, they will soon learn to say the names of all the letters of the A.B.C. if you want. Repeat them thus:

   A.b.c.d.e.f.g.h.i.k.l.m.n.o.p.q.r.s.t.u.w.x.y.z.&

   To say this by rote, will not hinder, but help them.

   Then they may quickly be taught to identify the names of the letters in the book, either one by one, finding first which is a, in the Alphabet; and then in any other place. Then to find which is h, and so through all the rest as you will.

   Then when they know their letters and spelling, if you make them to understand the matter which they learn, by questions, for a little at the first, they will go on in reading as fast as you will desire. The easier and more familiar the matter is to them, the faster they learn.

   Thus may any poor man or woman start the little ones in a town together; and make an honest poor living of it, or get some thing for helping the same. Also the Parents who have any learning, may start with their little ones, playing with them, at dinners, and suppers, or as they sit by the fire, and find it very pleasant delight.

   So they may help their children to gain a year or two in learning, at the beginning, & also save the Grammar Schools this labor and hindrance.

Spoud. You have persuaded me very much concerning this question. Also, Surely, Sir, howsoever this may seem but a toy, yet all young parents will much rejoice in it, and acknowledge it a great benefit, to have their children so begun; and this time being saved in the beginning, will be found in the end as you truly said. Yet there is another matter that comes unto my memory, about which I have taken no small grief and discouragement many times, concerning this point of reading English. I will mention it here, and desire your judgement how to address it, although it might haply come in better later.

The trouble is this: That when my children do first begin in Latin, many of them forget to read English, and some of them be worse two or three years after they have been in my school, than when they began it.

Now if you could teach me how to help this likewise, that they might as well still go forward in reading English as in Latin, I would account this a very great benefit. For, some of their Parents, who treat me the kindest, will be at me, that their children may every day read some Chapters of the Bible, in order to help their reading of English. Now this I cannot possibly do, without hindering their progress in Latin, in some lessons or necessary exercises; and either be behind their fellows, or else trouble all their fellows very much, that they cannot go so fast forward as they should, but stay for these readers.

Others being more ignorant or malicious, upon every light occasion, are ready to rage & rail at me, because their children, as they say, get nothing good from me, but are worse and worse. For, whereas they could read English perfectly (it may be) when they came to me, now they have forgotten to do it. Thus am I grieved on every side, and vexed daily, let me labor never so much, and spend my heart with them, to do them good. Phil. Sir, herein I can say, as she in the Poet; Haud ignara mali miseris succurrere disco.

[Not unfamiliar with misfortune myself, I have learned to help the miserable, Virgil]

For I have tasted deeply of the same grief until very recently, in the last year or two. Yet now I seem to myself, to find as sensible and continual growth amongst all my Students, in their English tongue as in the Latin. And not only in reading it, but also in understanding it, and in ability to speak their minds about any thing with which they are acquainted, or which they learn in Latin; and also how to express the meaning of the Latin in the propriety, and purity of our own language: so that I am quite delivered from that clamor.

But to tell you what I think, in which there seems to me, to be a very big lack in all our Grammar schools generally, or in most of them; and which I have heard some great learned men complain about; That there is no care had in respect, to train up students so, that they will be able to express their minds purely and readily in our own language, and to increase in the practice of it, as well as in the Latin or Greek. Therefore, our chief endeavor should be for English, and that for these reasons. 1. Because that language which all sorts and conditions of men amongst us are to have most use of, in both in speech and writing, is our own native tongue. 2. The purity and elegance of our own language, is to be esteemed a chief part of the honor of our Nation: which we all ought to advance as much as lies in us. When Greece and Rome and other nations have most flourished, their languages also have been most pure: and from those times of Greece and Rome, we get our chief patterns, from the learning of their tongues. 3. Because of those who are for a time trained up in schools, there are very few which proceed in learning, in comparison to those who pursue other callings.

Spoud. This complaint is not without just cause: for I do not know any school, in which the same is held for this to any purpose; in spite of the general necessity and use of it, as well as the great commendation which it brings to those who have attained it. But I think every minute is an hour, until I hear this from you: how my trouble and shame may be avoided, and how I may obtain this ability to direct my children, how they may go thus forward, not only in reading English perfectly, but also in the propriety, purity and copy of our English language, so as they may speak their minds commendably about any thing which concerns them, according to their age and place.

Phil. I will but name the means unto you now: for I shall have occasion to show them all more particularly after this.

Besides the daily use of distinct reading over their English parts to get them perfectly, and correctly reading all other things which they learn in Latin, as you know; these methods following, by the blessing of God will accomplish your desire.

1. The continual use of the books of construing of Lillies Rules, by causing them to learn to construe, and to keep their Grammar rules, only by the help of those construed translations. This I find one very good use of these books, besides some other which I shall mention after.

2. The daily use, and practice of Grammatical translation in English, of all the School Authors, which the younger sort learn; causing them each day out of those to construe, and repeat, whatever they learn. This I also have proved by happy experience, to be a rare help to make young Students to grow very much, both in English and Latin. But of all these, for the manner, benefits, and use of them, I shall have occasion to speak at large.
3. Besides these, they would have every day some practice of writing English heedily, in true Orthography; as also of translating into English; or, of writing Epistles, or familiar Letters to their friends, as well in English as in Latin. Amongst some of them, the reporting of a Fable in English, or the like matter, trying who can make the best report, doth much further them in this. And generally, amongst all those that can write, the taking of notes of Sermons, and delivering them again, or making repetitions, is a special means. Also striving to express whatsoever they construe, not only in propriety, but in variety of the finest phrase, who can give the best. This chiefly in the higher forms: So reading forth of Latin into English; first in propriety, then in purity. By these, and some use of the History of the Bible, and the like, which I shall be occasioned to mention after; you may find their growth, according to your desire, and much above your expectation.

Spoud. Undoubtedly Sir, these must needs be very available; because students may have hereby, so much use of the English every day, above that which is practiced in any School which I have known. But for any such translations of the School-Authors, I have not heard of them. Only I have seen the books of construing Lillies rules, and some of my children have them, though I feared that it would rather make them idle, being but a truant's book. Indeed I never conceived so much of them as you say: I will think better of their use.

Phil. There is not the best thing but it may be abused. But for that book as the others, I will show and prove to you the commodities of them, above all that you would imagine. Experience makes me confident: Yet to return unto your self, concerning the complaint of the Parents for their children going backward in reading English, when they first learn Latin; the chief fault in truth is in the Parents themselves; although we poor schoolmasters must be sure to bear all. For if such murmuring Parents would but cause their children, every day after dinner or supper, or both, to read a Chapter of the Bible, or a piece of a Chapter, as leisure would permit, and to do it constantly; thereby to show their love to the Lord, and his Word, and their desire to have the Word dwell plentifully in their houses, to have their children trained up in it, as young Timothy was; then, I say, this complaint would soon be at an end: for they should either see then, their children to increase in this, or else they should discern the fault to be in their children's dullness, and not in our neglect. Notwithstanding, since there are so very few of whom we can hope, that they have any care of this duty in their houses, in respect of all the rest who omit it, and yet all the blame must surely rest on us, it concerns us so much

as we can to address it; and therefore use all good means, to cut off all occasions of clamors, and of discrediting ourselves, and our schools, and to contend for the greatest profiting of our children, as well in this, as in any other part of learning; the use of this being, as we heard, most general and perpetual.

Spoud. You have directed me very rightly how to answer such Parents: now I shall be able to show them where the fault is, & be calling upon them to address this at home. I shall also endeavor to put all this in use, and more as you make the particulars more fully known unto me; and as I shall find by trial the fruit of it. But now, that you have thus satisfied me in all of my doubts; I cannot but demand yet one other point, wherein I find another great lack, though not comparable to the former; because there is not so much use of it: which is about the ordinary numbers or numbering. For I am much troubled about this, that my readers and others above them, are much to seek in all matters of numbers, whether in figures or in letters. Insomuch, as when they hear the Chapters named in the Church, many of them cannot turn to them, much less to the verse.

Phil. This likewise is a very ordinary defect, & yet might easily be helped by common means, in an hour or two. I call it ordinary, because you will have students, almost ready to go to the University, who yet can hardly tell you the number of Pages, Sections, Chapters, or other divisions in their books, to find what they should. And it is, as you say, a great & a foul lack; because, without the perfect knowledge of these numbers, students cannot help themselves by the Indices, or Tables of such books, as they should use, for turning to anything quickly: although it is something they should have used all their life long. And to conclude, it is a great neglect, because it is a thing so easy, as that it may be learned in so short a time, only by most usual means, as by these following. For numbers by letters, use but only to oppose them, according to the direction in the Latin Grammar at Orthography, and they will do them soon, if you ask what I. stands for, what V. what X. what L. & c. And back again, what letter stands for one, so what for five, or for ten. But especially if you desire to have them very ready in this, cause them to have these written, & then to practice reading them over often, until that they can answer any of them perfectly. Warn them also to remember always, that any number set after a greater number, adds so many more, as the value of that later number is. As, I. set after X. thus, XI. doth make eleven, XV. fifteen, XX. twenty. But being set before, they do take away so many as they are: as I. before X. thus, IX. nine.

The chief fault of the children going backwards in reading English, when they first learn Latin, is the Parents themselves.
If you wish an example more at large, this may serve: let each of them that should learn have a list of these, after this manner, to show them all the chief numbers. I. one. II. two. III. three. IIII. or IV. four. V. five. VI. six. VII. seven. VIII. eight. IX. nine. X. ten. XI. eleven. XII. twelve. XIII. thirteen. XIIIII. fourteen. XV. fifteen. XVI. XVIII. XVIII. XIX. XX. XXI. XXII. twenty five. XXX. thirty. XL. forty. L. fifty. XC. ninety. C. a hundred. D. five hundred. M. a thousand.

And thus much shortly for numbering by letters.

For the numbers by Figures, this rule must also be observed: That the Figures do signify in the first place so much only, as if they were alone, or one time so many. In the second place ten, or ten times so many. In the third place, hundreds, or a hundred times so many. In the fourth place thousands, or a thousand times so many. In the fifth place ten thousands; the places being reckoned from the right hand to the left. As for example, 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. twenty. 21. 22. 23. &c. 30. thirty. 31. 32. forty. 41. &c. 50. fifty. 51. 60. sixty. 70. seventy. 80. eighty. 90. ninety. 100. a hundred. 101. a hundred and one. 102. a hundred and two. 120. a hundred and twenty. 130. &c. 200. two hundred, &c. 1000. a thousand. 10000. ten thousand. 100000. a hundred thousand.

These being learned backwards and forwards, so that your student be able to know each of them, to call them, or name them right, and to find them out, as the child should find any letter which he is to learn: in a word, to tell what any of these numbers stand for, or how to set down any of them: will perform fully so much as is needful for your ordinate Grammar student. If you do require more for any, you must seek Records Arithmetic, or other like Authors, and set them to the Cyphering school.

Spoud. This is a defect that I see is most easily supplied by a very little pain and care in examining. I have troubled you overlong in this, being in it self so very a trifle, though the want generally be to be blamed. Now therefore let us hasten unto our profession for the Grammar Schoolmaster. For I desire earnestly to be in our own element, as more befitting and becoming our position.

Phil. I am very willing to make all the haste that we can: for this I see, that though we neither use digressions, nor needless words; yet this our conversation will prove very long, so that I can make my mind plain unto you: Unless I should be so short, as either to be obscure, or to omit many things which I take to be very necessary: But yet before we come to make entrance into the Latin, if we do keep order, we are to go through the way of writing, as being more general, and which chiefly pertains also to our English language; in respect of our more frequent use of it; I mean chiefly for the writing of our ordinary hand called the Secretary hand, which is almost wholly in use amongst us.

Note: The above chapter has been reedited and the spelling and wording modernized some. Also it helps to understand that reading was usually taught in a Pettie School or at home to four to six year old children. Once children learned to read basic English, then they could start Grammar School in which Latin, Greek, and other subjects of what we would now call a classic education were taught. Some of the words in this chapter have changed meaning. I have endeavored to change those words into other words or phrases so as to keep the same meaning. I also added diacritical marks to ç and ğendeavored to change those words into other words or phrases so as to keep the same meaning. I also added diacritical marks to ç and ğ.
American colonies in the New England area at least until the mid 1700’s. Starting in 1740 Thomas Dilworth’s Spelling Book began to replace it as the most popular book used to teach beginning reading. Dilworth’s book omitted the instruction for teachers in how to use the syllabary, but since everyone at that time already knew how to use it, it was still taught properly. The syllabary reading method is also called the ABC method, because beginning students say the names of the letters before they read the sound of a syllable of a word.

Noah Webster’s Spelling Books started to replace Dilworth’s book in 1783. Teachers gradually stopped using the syllabaries properly. The ABC method of teaching reading lost its emphasis on teaching students how to read syllables. In fact, after 1857 the syllable was no longer the unit of sound that was taught. It took longer and longer for students to learn how to read with the modified ABC method. Letter name spelling of words in lists became the focus. Teachers also lost their focus on teaching students what the words meant that they were reading.

Then around 1820 the “look and say” method was introduced. It is now called the word method or the whole word method. In this method students are taught to visually recognize letters as whole words without decoding the sounds of the letters. Initially it appeared to be a good method, and more successful than the modified ABC method. Children learned to read simple sentences of two, three, and four letter words much faster. They sounded more fluent. But rather quickly it was also observed that most of the students could not read any other words. That had never happened with the ABC method. Once children learned how to read basic syllables as words by the ABC method, they could also easily read other words phonetically. Many teachers and school principals wanted to return to using the ABC method, but that was not allowed. By then School Boards determined the methods and books that teachers were allowed to use. In most schools the “look and say” method replaced all variations of the ABC method.

Then in the 1830’s Elocution Book Series were published. The the Primer and First and Second Reader of those series were designed to teach beginning reading by the whole word method.
Later books were designed to teach older students to read out-loud in public. It took two or three years to teach students to learn to read about 1500 basic words. Then starting in the third book of the series, they were taught to analyze the letters in the whole words into the “sounds” the letters stood for so they could pronounce words accurately. Inadvertently it was during this elocution training that many students actually learned how to read words phonetically and they became independent readers. These books helped many students to correct the habit of whole word reading. The National Series by Parker and Watson is an example of these books.

The famous McGuffey Series was designed to sell books in the late 1830’s. It was called “Eclectic” because the books by design could be used to teach any method of reading. The publishers were not interested in providing the most successful method of reading instruction. They were most interested in how many books they could sell. That is very different from Noah Webster’s stated motivation.

Literacy rates also decreased in the large cities in which children were taught how to read in the newly established tax-funded government run public schools. Children who became whole word readers could not read independently. They had to be taught every word separately, and they could not sound out new words.

A lot of controversy arose about which method to use to teach beginning reading. For the most part, the university based professors philosophically liked the Word Method and variations of it, because of their focus on teaching meaning. Most teachers and principals liked phonics based beginning reading methods, because of better results. The most successful of those was a method developed by Edwin Leigh in the 1860’s. It coupled phonics with the syllabary method and used a special phonic alphabet to teach students to decode all words in basic texts. Unfortunately by the end of the 1800’s the political influence of university based professors and educational bureaucrats in and on school boards resulted in Leigh’s methods being removed from the classrooms. It was replaced with whole word based methods, or the new sentence method followed with late, incomplete analytical phonics.

In World War I many recruits who were taught to read the word-based methods were found to be illiterate. More government intervention and massive amounts of spending have not corrected this significantly as assessed by literacy rates. There have been battles known as the “reading wars” about which reading instruction method to use. These battles are primarily for the millions of dollars that are spent on textbooks by educational bureaucrats who oversee the public school systems.

In the 1950’s Rudolf Flesch published Why Johnny Can’t Read. That resulted in some return to Pure Phonics based reading programs. There were some good phonics programs developed that were used in some public schools, but now these are no longer in print, and difficult to fine copies of. They were replaced with another variation of the Word method, or with new phonics programs filled with sight words taught by the word method.

It is my opinion that a return to a combination of a syllabary method coupled with improved phonics, would be extremely valuable to the students of our country. I envision teaching students to read simple CV and VC syllables, and to teach them basic phonemes and to spell syllables by phoneme names, as well as, by letter names. I base that opinion in part on a comparison of the historical evidence of the the results of these different reading instruction methods. I also have my own personal experience, as well as, the experience of some other tutors and teachers who are using this kind of approach.

I am working on developing an updated version of the syllabary method. There are also some very good phonics programs that are almost as good as the syllabary method. Here are four I know of:

- **Blend Phonics** by Hazel Loring is free at: http://donpotter.net/education_pages/blend_phonics.html
- The Phonics Page has a good free program at: http://www.thephonicspage.org/Phonics%20Lsns/phonicsLsnslinks.html
- **Alpha Phonics** by Samuel Blumenfeld is at: http://www.howtotutor.com/
- **School Phonics** by William Carroll is at: http://www.didux.com/schoolphonics/

Earl Eugene Roth, Jr. M.D., Pediatrician, Phoenix, AZ. 
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